1

2

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICHARD RODMAN,

Defendant.

CASE NO. CR04-301-MJP

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE

INTRODUCTION

I conducted a hearing on alleged violations of supervised release in this case on July 30, 2007. The United States was represented by James Lord. The defendant was represented by Robert Goldsmith.

CONVICTION AND SENTENCE

Defendant had been convicted of Conspiracy to Distribute Cocaine on or about July 28, 2005. The Hon. Marsha J. Pechman of this court sentenced Defendant to 12 months and 1 day of confinement, followed by three years of supervised release. The original sentence was revoked following a violation of supervised release by defendant. The Court imposed a term of 12 months and 1 day custody and 24 months of supervised release, less time served. Mr. Rodman's current term of supervised release began on May 3, 2007 and the defendant was transferred to the District of Idaho to serve under the supervision of that

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -1-

1 2 district. The conditions of supervised release included requirements that defendant comply with the standard 13 conditions.

3

DEFENDANT'S ADMISSION

4 5

supervised release in the following respects:

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27 //

//

28

USPO Christopher Luscher alleged that Defendant violated the conditions of

- Committing the crime of driving under the influence of alcohol, on or about (1) May 8 and 12, 2007, in violation of the mandatory condition that he not commit another federal, state, or local crime.
- Failing to notify the probation officer within 72 hours of being arrested or (2) questioned by a law enforcement officer, on or before May 11 and 15, 2007, in violation of standard condition No. 11.
- (3) Failing to notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to a change in residence, on or before June 6, 2007, in violation of standard condition No. 6.
- (4) Failing to notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to a change in employment, on or before June 6, 2007, in violation of standard condition No. 6.
- (5) Failing to comply with urinalysis testing, as of May 12, 2007, in violation of the special condition requiring him to participate in a program for treatment of narcotic addition and drug dependency, which may include urinalysis testing, at the direction of the probation officer.

I advised the defendant of these charges and of his constitutional rights. Defendant admitted violation nos. 3, 4, and 5, and waived any hearing as to whether they occurred. The Government moved to dismiss violation no. 1, and to modify violation no. 2, by removal of the May 11 charge, to which the defendant then admitted. The parties agreed to have the matter set for a disposition hearing before the Hon. Marsha J. Pechman.

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -2-

RECOMMENDED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the foregoing, I recommend the court find that Defendant has violated the conditions of his supervised release as alleged and set the matter for a disposition hearing.

United States Magistrate Judge

Defendant has been detained pending a final determination by the Court.

DATED this 31st day of July, 2007.

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -3-